



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ficiary at any time provided the policy was not then assigned. Under a statute which provides that the widow "shall be entitled, by way of dower, to an absolute property in the one-third part of all" her husband's "movable effects in possession, or reducible to possession, at the time of his death," his widow claimed one-third of the proceeds of these policies in the hands of the executors. (1915 HAWAIIAN REV. LAWS, § 2977.) *Held*, that the widow is not entitled to any part of the insurance money. *Estate of Castle*, 25 Hawaii, 38.

For a discussion of principles involved, see NOTES, page 587.

SUNDAY LAWS — SUNDAY CONTRACTS — EFFECT OF DELIVERY ON WEEK-DAY. — A contract for the sale of hay was entered into on Sunday in violation of a statute making such contracts void (1909, REV. STAT. SASKATCHEWAN, c. 69, §. 3.). On a week day following, the vendor delivered the hay and the purchaser accepted and resold it. The vendor brought an action upon the contract made on Sunday, and in the alternative, for goods sold and delivered. *Held*, that on amending his pleading the plaintiff is entitled to have the defendant account for the proceeds of the resale. *Schuman v. Drab*, 49 D. L. R. 59 (Saskatchewan).

Since the Sunday contract was declared void by statute, obviously no rights could be enforced under it. Nor would it be validated by a subsequent recognition on a week day. *Riddle v. Keller*, 61 N. J. Eq. 513, 48 Atl. 818; *Day v. McAllister*, 15 Gray (Mass.) 433; *Acme, etc. Adv. Co. v. Van Derbeck*, 127 Mich. 341, 86 N. W. 786. Had the property been delivered on Sunday, title would not have passed to the purchaser and the vendor would be entitled to maintain replevin or trover. *Winsfield v. Dodge*, 45 Mich. 355, 7 N. W. 906; *Adams v. Gay*, 19 Vt. 358. See 15 HARV. L. REV. 317. But the parties can make a new valid contract on a subsequent week day with reference to the same subject matter. *Sherry v. Madler*, 123 Wis. 621, 101 N. W. 1095. This new contract may be implied from dealings with each other's property. *Vinz v. Beatty*, 61 Wis. 645, 21 N. W. 787. In the principal case, the subsequent delivery and acceptance is strong evidence of such a new contract. *Bradley v. Rea*, 103 Mass. 188. Hence it would seem that the vendor should have recovered on the count for goods sold and delivered. The majority, however, seem to proceed on the theory that there is no evidence of a new contract, and hence that title remained in the vendor and that the sale by the purchaser was a conversion. This view of the facts seems hardly tenable.

TAXATION — CONSTITUTIONAL RESTRICTIONS — RATE IN INHERITANCE TAXATION AFFECTED BY FOREIGN PROPERTY. — The inheritance tax laws of New Jersey provide that the transfer of property within the State owned by non-resident decedents shall be taxed (1909 N. J. Laws, 325 as amended 1914 N. J. Laws, 267). This tax is computed by figuring the amount which would be due if the decedent had died a resident with all his property within the State. The actual tax bears the same ratio to this hypothetical tax as the property within the state bears to all the property. A graduated tax is imposed on larger bequests in the case of resident decedents. Suits were brought to test the constitutionality of this method by the representatives of wealthy non-resident decedents. *Held*, that the tax is valid. *Maxwell v. Bugbee*, U. S. Sup. Ct., Nos. 43 and 238, October, term, 1919.

For a discussion of this case, see NOTES, p. 582, *supra*.

TAXATION — PARTICULAR FORMS OF TAXATION — INHERITANCE TAX — WHEN A NONRESIDENT IS DOING BUSINESS — WITHIN THE STATE. — Section 220 (1) of the New York Tax Law provides for a succession tax ". . . when the transfer is by will or intestate law of capital invested in business in the state